Dyslipidemia in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The association between type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D) and dyslipidemia (DLP) increases the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The aim of this study was to evaluate the presence of dyslipidemia in young T1D patients. Materials and methods: The study design was cross-sectional and descriptive. We reviewed medical records of T1D patients followed at an endocrinology service, from 1998–2012. Data collected: gender, actual age and age at diagnosis, duration of T1D since diagnosis, body mass index (BMI), pubertal stage, glycemic control (GC) determined by glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol (TC), HDL, LDL, triglycerides (TG). To analyze lipid profile and metabolic control, we used the Brazilian Society of Diabetes Guidelines. Results: Were included 239 T1D patients, 136 (56.9%) females; mean ± SD: actual age 15.7 ± 5.0 years and at T1D diagnosis 7.3 ± 3.9; T1D duration 10.6 ± 6.4 years, 86.6% puberty, 15.1% overweight. The prevalence of DLP was 72.5%, 63.3% females, 86.6% puberty, mean ± SD: actual age 15.4 ± 4.8 years and at T1D diagnosis 7.2 ± 4.1 years, duration of T1D 10.7 ± 6.1 years. We found high-CT in 56.7%, low-HDL = 21.7%, high LDL = 44.0%, high-TG = 11.8%. Between females with DLP, 83.5% was in puberty. We find correlation between the presence of DLP, a poor GC and BMC. Conclusion: We found a high prevalence of DLP in young patients with T1D, particularly in puberty females. Programs targeting the prevention of dyslipidemia should be adopted, especially for this group, in order to prevent/delay chronic complications and cardiovascular disease. Arch Endocrinol Metab. 2015;59(3):215-9
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of dyslipidemia (DLP) in the general population, including children, has recently increased (1-3). Changes in lifestyle that contribute to overweight and obesity, including sedentarism and high carbohydrate and fat diets, may have contributed to this increased DLP prevalence (1,4,5).

In patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D), the presence of DLP significantly increases cardiovascular risk. Patients with T1D have a 2–4 times greater risk of developing atherosclerosis compared to people without diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular events account for up to 44% of the total mortality in these patients (2,6-8).

However, there are few studies that have investigated the relationship between DLP and T1D in young people; most of the information has been based on adult studies (6,9-11). Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of DLP in young patients with T1D in an endocrinology referral center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study collected data from the medical records of T1D patients of both genders (chronological age ≥ 5 years) who were followed in the Diabetes Outpatient Clinic at Santa Casa School of Medicine of São Paulo (ISCMSF), São Paulo, Brazil, from 1998-2012.

The following data were collected: (1) clinical data including gender, actual age and age at T1D diagnosis, duration of T1D since diagnosis; weight (kg), height (cm), and age-specific body mass index (BMI; kg/m²); and (2) laboratory data, which were measured up to a maximum of 3 months from the appointment date, including glycemic control (GC); glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c, measured by turbidimetric immunoassay], triglycerides (TG), and total cholesterol (TC, esterase-oxidase) and its fractions (high-density lipoprotein [HDL] measured by enzymatic colorimetric method and low-density lipoprotein [LDL, estimated using the Friedewald equation]).
Data on weight and height were analyzed and classified by using the WHO Anthro® software, Geneva, Switzerland. The Z score (BMI/Age) indices were used to evaluate nutritional status. Patients were classified as eutrophic (Z, -1 to +1), overweight (Z, +1 to +2), obese (Z ≥ 2) and low weight (Z ≤ -1) (12).

Patients were stratified according to age (5-9.9 years, 10-18.9 years, and ≥ 19 years) and ranked on the basis of the lipid profile and metabolic control according to the recommendations of the Guidelines of the Brazilian Society of Diabetes (2013 to 2014), (13) considering normal values for children TC < 150 mg/dL; HDL ≥ 45 mg/dL; LDL < 100 mg/dL; TG < 100 mg/dL; HbA1c: < 7.5%. The adults were considered as having normal values when TC < 200 mg/dL; HDL > 40 mg/dL in men; HDL > 50 mg/dL in women; LDL < 100 mg/dL; TG < 150 mg/dL; HbA1c: < 7%. DLP was considered when we have at least one of them unsettled.

Descriptive and comparative statistical analyses between the variables were conducted by using SigmaStat for Windows version 3.5 (SPSS Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

We used relative or absolute frequency in the analysis of the qualitative variables, and mean and standard deviation for continuous data. We used test t when the analyzed variables were parametric and Mann-Whitney for non-parametric data. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study was approved by the Ethics in Human and Animal Medical Research committee of the ISCMSP.

RESULTS

Between 1998 and 2012, 239 patients with DM1 aged 5-31 years were followed. The patient’s characteristics are described in table 1. Prevalence of DLP was 72.5% of the T1D patients (Figure 1). We found no statistical differences in epidemiologic variables when making comparisons according to the pubertal stage (prepubertal vs. pubertal) or nutritional status (eutrophic vs. overweight) (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U test).

When comparisons were conducted according to the gender, we found 81.7% of DLP in the female patients and 61.8% in males (p < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test) (Table 2). As there was a higher prevalence of DLP in the female patients, these patients were categorized according to their nutritional status (eutrophic vs. overweight) and presence of DLP (presence or absence). Overweight status did not contribute to poorer GC (p: 0.56, Mann-Whitney U test), but it was associated with a higher prevalence of DLP (p < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test). The lipid profile when analyzed separately (CT, HDL, LDL, TG) was unrelated with overweight (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U test).

When we analyzed the presence of DLP in the female group considering the puberty stage, it was more prevalent in pubertal girls (82.7%) (p < 0.01; Mann-Whitney U test); however, was unrelated to age (p: 0.33, Mann-Whitney U test), age at T1D diagnosis (p: 0.48, Mann-Whitney U test), disease duration (p: 0.52, Mann-Whitney U test) or glycemic control (p: 0.56, Mann-Whitney U test). From all the patients that had DLP and were in puberty, 63.3% were female.

When we analyzed the frequency of DLP and lipid profile according the age groups T1D patients, the group aged ≥ 19 years had more DLP (66.1%) with greater levels of TC (21.4%) (p < 0.01; Mann-Whitney U test) than the other age groups. There was no statistical difference between the other age groups (5-9.99 years) vs. (10-18.99 years) (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U test). The patient’s characteristics (mean ± DP) by age group and gender are described in table 3.
Table 2. Clinical and laboratory characteristics by gender in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus at the Department of Endocrinology ISCMSP, 1998-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 239</td>
<td>N = 136 (%)</td>
<td>N = 103 (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual age – years (SD)</td>
<td>15.7 (± 5.3)</td>
<td>15.7 (±4.5)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration – years (SD)</td>
<td>10.5 (± 6.3)</td>
<td>10.7 (±6.5)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (19.1)</td>
<td>10 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal group, n (%)</td>
<td>116 (85.3)</td>
<td>90 (87.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor glycemic control, n (%)</td>
<td>121 (93.0)</td>
<td>86 (86.7)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP, n (%)</td>
<td>107 (81.7)</td>
<td>62 (60.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High TC, n (%)</td>
<td>87 (66.9)</td>
<td>44 (43.6)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low HDL, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (23.1)</td>
<td>18 (20.0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High LDL, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (50.4)</td>
<td>31 (35.6)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High TG, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (14.8)</td>
<td>8 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05; Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 3. Clinical and laboratory characteristics (mean ± SD) by gender and age in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus at the Department of Endocrinology ISCMSP, 1998–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>5 - 9.9 years mean ± SD</th>
<th>10 - 18.9 years mean ± SD</th>
<th>≥ 19 years mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 239</td>
<td>N = 33 F = 25 M = 8</td>
<td>N = 152 F = 76 M = 76</td>
<td>N = 54 F = 35 M = 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual age-years</td>
<td>8.3 (1.1) 8.2 (1.2) 8.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>14.9 (2.4) 15.0 (2.2) 14.7 (±2.6)</td>
<td>22.6 (3.2) 22.6 (3.4) 22.6 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration – years</td>
<td>5.8 (4.5) 6.1 (4.5) 5.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>9.8 (5.6) 9.6 (5.1) 10.1 (±6.0)</td>
<td>15.8 (6.1) 15.7 (6.4) 15.9 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z BMI</td>
<td>0.4 (0.4) 0.5 (1.1) 0.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.3 (1.1) 0.3 (1.1) 0.1 (±1.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.9) 0.1 (0.9) 0.1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>9.0 (1.9) 9.1 (1.9) 8.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>11 (2.5) 11.6 (2.5) 10.5 (±2.5)</td>
<td>10.2 (2.6) 10.5 (3.0) 9.5 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>162.4 (35.5) 158.6 (29.3) 172.1 (49.5)</td>
<td>168.2 (40.6) 184.0 (42.1) 152.4 (±32.3)</td>
<td>177.1 (50.8) 186.6 (57.3) 159.6 (29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>49.8 (9.9) 50.2 (10.4) 48.3 (8.7)</td>
<td>52.3 (12.2) 55.1 (12.5) 49.4 (±10.7)</td>
<td>51.4 (13.5) 53.7 (14.9) 47.1 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>97.1 (32.2) 94.2 (28.6) 104.8 (41.4)</td>
<td>99.9 (31.8) 110.9 (33.9) 88.8 (±25.3)</td>
<td>103.6 (39.4) 110.4 (42.8) 91.2 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>70.3 (47.4) 62.5 (26.4) 89.9 (78.5)</td>
<td>87.2 (55.2) 100.8 (66.7) 73.7 (±36.2)</td>
<td>103.0 (56.4) 104.3 (55.6) 100.6 (59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Daily Insulin (U/kg/day)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4) 1.0 (0.4) 0.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4) 1.2 (0.4) 1.1 (±0.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4) 0.8 (0.4) 1.0 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: female; M: male; BMI: body mass index; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; TG: triglycerides.

DISCUSSION

We found a high prevalence of DLP (72.5%) in young patients with T1D, characterized primarily by increased TC and LDL levels. The increased prevalence of DLP has previously been reported, even among young patients (1-3,14). However, the prevalence in the present study was much higher than that found by Polak and cols., who reported that 16% of puberal patients with T1D had elevated TC, while 5% had hypertriglyceridemia (15).

Regarding the atherogenic profile, the most commonly reported forms of DLP in patients with T1D include elevated TC, LDL, and TG values (4,6,9) which are similar to the findings observed in the present study.

There was no correlation between poor GC and HDL, LDL, TC or the TG levels, similar to those reported by Teles and Fornés (16). However, the results reported by Guy and cols. (14) and Giuffrida and cols. (17), in which they reported correlation between poor HbA1c levels and the presence of DLP, were different from the present findings.

According to Alves and cols. (6), DLP is most likely to be found in newly diagnosed individuals with diabetes mellitus, those who are metabolically decompensated, or patients experiencing diabetic ketoacidosis. Patients with diabetes mellitus and good GC generally have a normal lipid profile, similar to that in individuals without diabetes mellitus (14).

We observed a higher prevalence of DLP (81.7%) with lower levels of HDL (23.1%) in female patients. Franca and Alves (18) also reported a higher incidence of DLP in this group (34.7%) in comparison with
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male participants (25.3%). According to Pérez and cols. (9), even when women with T1D have well-controlled glucose levels, they have a higher atherogenic profile than men, suggesting that T1D has a greater impact on cardiovascular risk in women than in men. Furthermore, we did not detect a correlation between obesity/overweight with poor metabolic control and the lipid profile in the female patients, which also suggests that the female gender can be, itself, a risk factor for DLP in T1D young patients.

The findings of the present study also indicated that the atherogenic profile was poorer in pubertal patients. The studies by Polak and cols. (15), Franca and Alves (18) support this finding.

There were no differences considering the age in the total sample; however, the patients aged 10-18.9 years had more DLP and higher TC than the others groups.

Of the present sample, 15.1% were overweight or obese, of which 12.6% were overweight, and 2.5% obese. Compared to other reports based on national data, similar rates were reported by Liberatore Jr. and cols. (19), where 15.6% were overweight or obese.

The incidence of obesity is increasing in all age groups (20), even among patients with T1D (21,22). The etiology of obesity is multifactorial, resulting from an imbalance between caloric intake and energy expenditure associated with genetic, environmental, and behavioral factors, such as a sedentary lifestyle, imbalanced diets, and increased food intake. In addition, excessive weight gain has been observed in T1D patients undergoing intensive insulin therapy (5,19,23).

Obesity is associated with several endocrine and metabolic comorbidities, including type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and DLP, and is considered an independent risk factor for increased mortality (5,22,24). In the present study, we found an association between overweight and DLP, however we found no correlation between BMI and poor GC or lipid profile.

Better GC is associated with improved survival in patients with T1D, leading to progressive changes in the causes of mortality, particularly cardiovascular disease; therefore, it is important to identify the risk factors for cardiovascular disease in this population (25-31).

On the basis of the results of the present study, we can conclude that higher rates of DLP are present in T1D adolescents, particularly associated to puberty, overweight and female gender. Early identification of DLP in this at-risk group may help to prevent or delay the onset of cardiovascular disease.
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