Ultrasonographic characteristics as a criterion for repeat cytology in benign thyroid nodules
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To report the results of repeat fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) in thyroid nodules with an initial benign cytological diagnosis that grow during follow-up and/or present suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics. Subjects and methods: The sample consisted of 456 patients with 895 nodules. FNAC was repeated after 12 to 18 months in the case of nodules with suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics, irrespective of growth, and when the nodules showed significant growth. Results: Among the 895 nodules examined, 102 (11.4%) presented suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics. The second FNAC revealed malignancy in 18 (17.6%) nodules, including 20% (2/10) of those showing growth and 17.4% (16/92) that did not. Seventy-six (9.6%) of 793 nodules without suspicious characteristics presented growth. Only one case (1.3%) of malignancy was diagnosed by repeat FNAC. Conclusions: The study suggests ultrasonographic characteristics rather than growth as a criterion for repeat FNAC in the case of nodules with an initial benign cytological diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is the best method for the definition of the etiology of thyroid nodules. Although uncommon in ultrasound-guided FNAC, false-negative cases are relevant since a delay in the diagnosis of malignancy may compromise the prognosis of the patient (1,2). For this reason, some investigators recommend repetition of FNAC in the case of nodules with an initial benign cytological diagnosis (3-9). In contrast to this management, it is found the fact that the first diagnosis remains unchanged in most cases (3,4,6-9) and that other patients are unnecessarily
submitted to surgery because of an unsatisfactory or indeterminate biopsy (3,5,8,9). Thus, knowledge about the characteristics that identify, with a higher probability, nodules harboring malignancy despite benign cytology is important to reduce the number of cases of masked malignancy without exposing a large number of patients to a second procedure. Repetition of FNAC should be restricted to these nodules.

Currently, repeat FNAC is only recommended in cases of benign nodules that show growth during follow-up (10), but this criterion seems to be poorly sensitive (4,11) and has low positive predictive value for malignancy (12). Additionally, the approach of repeating FNAC was adopted in the case of nodules with suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics despite initial benign cytology even in the absence of size variation. The objective of this study was to report the results of this approach.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

All patients who were examined by the author at a private clinic and at Santa Casa de Belo Horizonte between 2003 and 2008, had one or more thyroid nodules and were eligible for this study. Nodules ≤ 1 cm without suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics and hot nodules on 131I scintigraphy performed on patients with low TSH were not submitted to FNAC. The present sample consisted of nodules submitted to FNAC that presented a benign cytological diagnosis (and adequate cellularity). Patients exposed to neck radiation during childhood and adolescence, patients with a family history of medullary or papillary carcinoma (in the latter case, at least two first-degree relatives), and patients with nodule-related symptoms or nodule(s) ≥ 4 cm were managed differently (submitted to surgery and no repeat FNAC was therefore performed), even in the case of benign cytology, and were excluded from the study. Forty patients who were not reevaluated one year later were excluded. The final sample consisted of 456 patients (362 women, age range 7-88 years, median of 48 years) with 895 nodules. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Santa Casa de Belo Horizonte.

FNAC was repeated after 12 to 18 months, in the case of nodules with suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics, irrespective of growth, and in the other cases only when the nodule showed significant growth (volume increase ≥ 50%, with at least one of the measurements showing an increase > 20% and > 2 mm) during the same period. The second FNAC and ultrasonography were performed with the same apparatus and by the same examiner who performed the first.

Sonography was performed with a linear multifrequency 10 to 12 MHz transducer for morphological analysis and with a 4.5 to 7 MHz transducer for color Doppler evaluation. The images were analyzed by experienced professionals. Suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics were defined as: microcalcifications; marked hypoechogenicity (when a nodule showed a relatively hypoechoic pattern in regard to the adjacent strap muscle), or two or more findings between hypoechogenicity, microlobulated or irregular margins, predominantly central flow, and taller than wide in shape (being greater in the antero posterior dimension than in the transverse dimension). FNAC was performed with a 22-gauge needle and a 5- or 10-ml syringe and was guided by ultrasonography. The smears were stained with hematoxylin-eosin and analyzed by pathologists experienced in thyroid pathology.

Fisher’s exact test or the χ² test was used to detect differences in the proportion of cases. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Among the 895 nodules < 4 cm with a benign cytological diagnosis, 102 presented suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics and 76 nodules showed significant growth after 12 to 18 months. FNAC was repeated in 178 nodules. The second FNAC revealed malignancy in 19 nodules, 134 nodules were diagnosed as benign, 12 as indeterminate, and 13 other nodules as inadequate. Histology confirmed the cytological diagnosis in all cases of malignancy.

Table 1 shows the probability of repeat FNAC detecting malignancy in nodules < 4 cm with an initial benign cytological diagnosis according to the ultrasonographic characteristics and nodule growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics</th>
<th>Significant growth after 12-18 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10 (20%)</td>
<td>16/92 (17.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/76 (1.3%)</td>
<td>717*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/86 (3.5%)</td>
<td>16/809 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FNAC was not repeated in these cases.
DISCUSSION

Although presenting a high negative predictive value, benign cytology does not definitively exclude malignancy in thyroid nodules and repetition of FNAC has been proposed for the detection of false-negative cases (3-9). Repetition of FNAC in all cases of nodules with an initial benign cytological diagnosis leads to a final diagnosis of malignancy in only approximately 2% of cases (3,4,6-9). Due to an indeterminate, suspicious or insufficient cytology result, about 13% of patients are referred for thyroidectomy exclusively based on the findings of repeat FNAC and most of these patients present benign histology (3,5,7-9). Finally, some investigators defend the need for three cytology exams to ensure the benign character of the nodule (4,9), an approach that increases even further the relevance of the problems cited above.

The detection of masked malignancy without exposing a large number of patients to additional procedures and even to unnecessary surgery is only possible if characteristics are available to identify nodules harboring malignancy with a higher probability, with repeat FNAC being restricted to these cases. Factors that increase the risk of thyroid malignancy, such as exposure to neck radiation during childhood, a family history of medullary or papillary carcinoma and ipsilateral paralysis of the vocal cord, are observed in exceptional cases. With respect to nodule size ≥ 4 cm (13,14), in addition to doubts about whether this finding is really associated with a false-negative FNAC result (15,16), today it is rarely observed in nodular thyroid disease. On the other hand, the detection of hot nodules by radioiodine scintigraphy, mitigating the possibility of malignancy, requires systematic examination and only few nodules would qualify as “hot”. Thus, adoption of these criteria for the repetition of FNAC in the case of benign cytology does not seem to be interesting.

Today, almost all patients with thyroid nodules are submitted to ultrasonography. Although this imaging method alone does not define the etiology of the nodule it assists in the differentiation between benign and malignant nodules (10,17-20). This fact renders ultrasonography a highly attractive tool for the selection of candidates for repeat FNAC in the case of an initial benign cytological diagnosis, but its validation for this purpose is fundamental. Shin and cols. (6) showed that 89% of nodules in which repeat FNAC revealed malignancy were solid hypoechoic nodules and 56% presented microcalcifications. In the study of Kwak and cols. (21), who evaluated cases with a benign cytological diagnosis, the malignancy rate was 2.9% versus 56.6% in nodules without and with suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics, respectively. Thirty (96.8%) of 31 false-negative FNAC results presented suspicious ultrasonographic features (21). Illouz and cols. (9), on defining microcalcifications, lack of a hypoechoic halo and irregular margins as suspicious ultrasonographic characteristics, observed no difference in the frequency of these findings between nodules with persistently benign versus suspicious or malignant cytology in subsequent exams. However, only seven cases of malignancy were detected in that study and surprisingly only 4% in the second group presented these characteristics (9). In the present study using characteristics of high specificity (17-20), although only 11.4% of the nodules presented these findings, the chance of malignancy in a second FNAC exam was approximately 17%. Although the FNAC was not repeated in the case of non-suspicious nodules considering this proportion of suspicious nodules, the frequency of malignancy in the group and an overall malignancy rate of approximately 2% upon repeat FNAC (3,4,6-9) it was concluded that specifically in these cases (non-suspicious nodules) malignancy should be negligible.

The ultrasonographic characteristics were superior as a criterion for repeat FNAC when compared to nodule growth. The same number of patients would be submitted to the exam (11.4% and 9.6%), but the frequency of malignancy was higher using the echographic criterion versus the growth criterion (17% versus 3.5%). In fact, nodule growth is known to have a low positive predictive value for malignancy (12). Considering non-cystic nodules the interval between examinations (12 to 18 months) and an increase in nodule volume ≥ 50% (growth criterion), the growth rate detected in this investigation (10%) was similar to those reported in earlier studies (12,22-25).

This study suggests the use of ultrasonographic findings (hypoechoigenicity, microcalcifications, microlobulated or irregular margins, predominantly central flow, taller than wide in shape) rather than nodule growth for the selection of candidate nodules for repeat FNAC despite an initial benign cytological diagnosis.
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