Low iodine diet does not improve the efficacy of radioiodine for the treatment of Graves’ disease
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Consuming a low-iodine diet (LID) is a widely accepted practice before administering radioiodine (131I) to evaluate and to treat thyroid disease. Although this procedure is well established for the management of patients with differentiated thyroid cancer, its use in patients with benign disease is unclear. So, we aimed to evaluate the influence of a LID on the outcome in patients with Graves’ disease (GD) treated with 131I. Subjects and methods: We evaluated 67 patients with GD who were divided into 2 groups: one group (n = 31) consumed a LID for 1-2 weeks, and the second group (n = 36) was instructed to maintain a regular diet (RD). Results: The LID group experienced a 23% decrease in urinary iodine after 1 week on the diet and a significant 42% decrease after 2 weeks on the diet. The majority (53%) of the patients in the LID group had urinary iodine levels that were consistent with deficient iodine intake. However, there was no difference in the rate of hyperthyroidism’s cure between the LID and the RD groups 6 months after 131I therapy. Furthermore, the therapeutic efficacy did not differ in patients with varying degrees of sufficient iodine intake (corresponding urinary iodine levels: < 10 μg/dL is deficient; 10-29.9 μg/dL is sufficient; and > 30 μg/dL is excessive). Conclusion: In the present study, we demonstrated that although a LID decreased urinary iodine levels, those levels corresponding with sufficient or a mild excess in iodine intake did not compromise the therapeutic efficacy of 131I for the treatment of GD.
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INTRODUCTION

Radioiodine (131I) represents one of the first-line therapies for Graves’ disease (GD) (1-4). In the majority of cases, a single dose of 131I is sufficient to restore the patient to euthyroidism or hypothyroidism within 6 to 12 months (4). Despite its efficacy, radioiodine therapy (RAIT) fails in 10-50% of cases (4). The success of RAIT is influenced by many variables, including gender, age, thyroid volume, prior use of antithyroid drugs and baseline free thyroxine (FT4) levels; however, none of these variables reliably predict the outcome after RAIT (5-12).

The effect of RAIT is directly correlated to the dose of 131I as well as to the dose absorbed by the thyroid tissue (12) although one study showed no difference in this respect (13). It is known that thyroid uptake varies based on the availability of dietary iodine. A decline in radioiodine uptake has been observed over time in populations with benign thyroid disease who are exposed to high amounts of iodine in accordance with public policies of iodine supplementation (14,15). Moreover, previous studies have reported that a 25% decline in iodine uptake occurs when iodine excretion is increased by 2-fold (12,16).

To increase 131I uptake, a stringent low-iodine diet (LID) is recommended and its efficacy is easily monitored by measuring urinary iodine levels (17,18). A LID reduces plasma iodine levels, leading to increased expression of sodium-iodide symporter (NIS), thereby increasing 131I uptake (19,20). Although this practice has been widely accepted for the management of differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC), its use in evaluating and treating benign thyroid diseases, particularly GD, has not been demonstrated. In fact, recent guidelines and reviews have suggested that high plasma iodine levels rarely compromise RAIT for thyrotoxicosis and that a LID is only indicated in cases of DTC or nontoxic goiters (1,3,12). However, information regarding the effects of LID on the therapeutic efficacy of 131I on GD is lacking.
In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of a LID at achieving a nutritionally deficient state and to determine the impact of this condition on the response to $^{131}$I treatment.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

We enrolled consecutively 67 patients with GD (59 women and 8 men; age range, 16-76 years) who were referred to our Thyroid Outpatient Clinic. GD was diagnosed based on the presence of signs and symptoms of hyperthyroidism, diffuse goiter and laboratory tests consistent with thyrotoxicosis. The Ethical Committee of our institution approved the protocol (CAAE: 02584112.5.0000.5505) and all the patients signed an informed consent.

**Laboratory analyses**

Patients were followed for 2 weeks before RAIT and were examined weekly to determine thyroid function and to measure urinary iodine levels. Serum free thyroxine (FT4) and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) levels were determined by electrochemiluminescence using commercially available kits (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The reference values were as follows: TSH = 0.3-4.0 mU/L and FT4 = 0.6-1.5 ng/dL. Thyroid ultrasound was performed in all patients using an ENVISOR Doppler Duplex (Philips, Andover, MA, USA), with a 12-MHz linear array transducer. The same examiner, who was blinded to the patients’ clinical conditions, performed all of the thyroid measurements.

Urinary iodine from spot samples was quantified by a semi-automatic spectrophotometric method using the Sandell-Kolthoff reaction (21). Each sample was digested with 0.1 M ammonium persulfate for 60 minutes at 95°C. After cooling, the samples were incubated with 0.02 M arsenious acid for 15 minutes and with 0.02 M ceric sulfate for 40 minutes, and the absorbance was measured at 405 nm. The amount of iodine present in each sample was determined by comparing the absorbance with a standard curve. This technique has an analytical sensitivity of 1.0 µg/dL.

**Low-iodine diet**

Two weeks before RAIT, the patients were allocated alternately into 2 groups: one group consumed a LID (n = 31), corresponding to a maximum amount of 50 µg iodine/day (22), and the other group (n = 36) was instructed to maintain a regular diet (RD). We monitored patient compliance to their diet each week and emphasized its importance. Considering that a restrictive diet would result in urinary iodine excretion levels consistent with iodine nutritional deficiency, dietary efficacy was also interpreted based on the iodine nutritional status criteria developed by the International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD) and the World Health Organization (WHO). Urinary iodine excretion < 10 µg/dL indicates deficient intake; urinary iodine between 10 µg/dL and 29.9 µg/dL denotes a sufficient nutritional status; and urinary iodine ≥ 30 µg/dL corresponds to excessive iodine ingestion.

**Radioiodine therapy and follow-up**

All of the patients discontinued antithyroid drugs 5 days before RAIT. All patients received a fixed dose of 555 MBq (15 mCi) of $^{131}$I. Antithyroid medication was reintroduced in the follow up to the symptomatic patients with persistent low TSH and increased FT4.

After treatment, the patients were examined at 1, 2, 3 and 6 months for clinical and laboratory evaluations. At 6 months of follow-up, patients were classified as “cured” if: a) they developed hypothyroidism and were receiving levothyroxine (LT4) replacement; or b) the FT4 levels were within the reference range with no use of antithyroid medication.

**Statistical analysis**

We utilized the Mann-Whitney test to compare the quantitative variables (age, TSH levels, T4L levels, urinary iodine concentration and thyroid volume). We analyzed gender and treatment response using Fisher’s exact test. We utilized ANOVA to compare the temporal progression of urinary iodine levels from baseline to 1 week to 2 weeks on a LID. Statistical significance was established at p < 0.05. We performed all of the analyses using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

**RESULTS**

**The impact of a LID on urinary iodine excretion**

Table 1 presents the clinical and laboratory characteristics of the patients included in the study. At baseline, there were no significant differences between the LID and the RD groups in terms of gender, age, duration of disease, thyroid volume or TSH, FT4 or urinary iodine levels.
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**Table 1. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients who were maintained on low-iodine diet (LID) or in regular diet (RD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LID (N = 31)</th>
<th>RD (N = 36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F:M)</td>
<td>28:3</td>
<td>31:5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45 (23-72)</td>
<td>43 (16-76)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of GD (years)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0-15)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0-15)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mU/L)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.05-10.1)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.05-20.6)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4L (ng/dL)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5-6.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4-6.0)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial urinary iodine (µg/dL)</td>
<td>20.1 (4.9-45)</td>
<td>22.1 (4.0-68.7)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid volume (mL)</td>
<td>40 (8.3-189)</td>
<td>25.1 (8.3-62)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the median and minimum-maximum values between parentheses. LID: low-iodine diet; RD: regular diet; F: female; M: male; GD: Graves’s disease.

After a restrictive diet, the urinary iodine levels in the LID group decreased by 23% after the first week (p = 0.054) followed by a significant 42% decline after 2 weeks (Figure 1A). However, there was no significant difference in the reduction in urinary iodine levels between 1 week and 2 weeks on a LID. In the RD group, there was no difference in urinary iodine excretion after 1 or 2 weeks compared with baseline (Figure 1B).

The proportion of patients in the LID and RD groups whose iodine urinary excretion indicated deficient, sufficient or excessive iodine intake did not differ at baseline (Table 2); nevertheless, more than 80% of the patients in both groups presented with urinary iodine levels compatible with sufficient or excessive iodine intake. However, in the LID group, the proportion of patients who exhibited levels consistent with deficient iodine intake after 2 weeks on the restrictive diet increased from 16% to more than 53% (p < 0.05). Further, the percentage of patients with urinary iodine levels corresponding to excessive iodine intake decreased significantly from 26% to less than 7%. Nevertheless, approximately 40% of the patients who consumed a LID had urinary iodine levels that were compatible with sufficient or excessive iodine intake. In the RD group, the percentage of patients with urinary iodine levels corresponding to deficient iodine intake increased from 8.3% to 30.5%. However, in this group, those patients with urinary iodine levels indicative of sufficient or excessive iodine intake remained nearly constant throughout the study (Table 2).

### The impact of LID on the response to radioiodine

Patients who progressed to hypothyroidism or maintained their FT4 levels within the reference values were considered to be cured. We compared the proportion of cured patients in the LID and the RD groups and did not identify a statistical difference between them during the follow-up period (Table 3). In the low iodine diet (LID) group, there were 0, 7, 17 and 21 patients in hypothyroidism at 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 6th months after 131I, respectively; while 9, 11, 7 and 5 patients were in euthyroidism at the same period of times. On the other hand, in the regular diet (RD) group, there were 0, 9, 21 and 23 patients in hypothyroidism at 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 6th months after 131I, respectively.

### Table 2. Distribution of patients according to the adequacy of iodine intake as recommended by ICCIDD/WHO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
<th>Deficiency</th>
<th>Sufficiency</th>
<th>Excessive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
<td>17 (57.7)</td>
<td>8 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>9 (30)*</td>
<td>18 (60)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>16 (53.3)*</td>
<td>12 (40)</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>21 (58.3)</td>
<td>12 (33.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>19 (52.8)</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>11 (30.5)</td>
<td>14 (38.9)</td>
<td>11 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICCID/WHO: International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders/World Health Organization criteria: urinary iodine excretion < 10 µg/dL indicates “Deficiency” in the iodine nutrition state; between 10-29.9 µg/dL denotes “Sufficiency”; and urinary iodine levels ≥ 30 µg/dL represents an “Excessive” intake. LID, low-iodine diet; RD, regular diet. * p < 0.05 when compared to baseline (ANOVA).

**Figure 1.** Effect of low-iodine diet in the urinary iodine excretion. LID, low-iodine diet (A); RD, regular diet (B). * p < 0.05 if compared to baseline. ** Not significant in the comparison with baseline and 1 week.
6th months after $^{131}$I, respectively; while 10, 10, 8 and 6 patients were in euthyroidism at that times. In this analysis, one patient in each group was excluded due to loss of follow-up. At six month, only one patient from each group was on antithyroid drug treatment.

We also evaluated whether urinary iodine levels at the time of treatment, regardless of whether the patients adhered to a restrictive diet, affected the response to radiiodine. We regrouped the patients according to their urinary iodine levels indicative of deficient, sufficient or excessive iodine intake and determined whether their hyperthyroidism was controlled. As presented in table 4, there were no differences among these 3 groups with regard to clinical or laboratory parameters, with the exception of urinary iodine. Among these 3 groups, the proportion of cured patients did not differ after 6 months of follow-up (Table 4). In fact, approximately 80% of the patients achieved a cure 3 months after $^{131}$I treatment; this percentage was maintained throughout the 6-month follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we demonstrated that although a LID efficiently decreased urinary iodine excretion, this reduction did not affect the therapeutic response to $^{131}$I. The results indicated that even at urinary iodine levels compatible with sufficient or slightly excessive endogenous iodine, there was no reduction in the cure rate for hyperthyroidism compared with patients with a clear iodine deficiency who received $^{131}$I.

A LID is routinely prescribed before RAIT, especially in patients with DTC (18,23-25). Such a diet reduces the endogenous pool of iodine, thereby contributing to the increased uptake and half-life of $^{131}$I in the thyroid remnant. This enhanced uptake might result from increased NIS gene expression, a higher specific activity of $^{131}$I or the increased sensitivity of the remaining thyroid tissue to TSH (19,20). Despite the controversy regarding the appropriate degree and duration of a LID (26,27), the general recommendation is that patients should consume a LID, generally consisting of less than 50 μg iodine/day, for 1 to 4 weeks (18,22). This stringent diet results in a significant decrease in urinary iodine excretion, ranging from 50% to 80%; more than 80% of the patients develop iodine deficiency. Consequently, this diet increases treatment effectiveness by more than 65% (27,28).

In the present study, urinary iodine excretion decreased by 23% in the first week and by more than 40% in the second week of a LID; this rate was lower than those reported in previous studies (29-31). Moreover, only 53% of the patients achieved iodine deficiency after a 2-week diet. However, previous reports (29-31) evaluated patients with DTC who underwent a thyroidectomy, which could explain this discrepancy because iodine clearance is faster in the absence of the thyroid. A recent study demonstrated that patients with DTC who underwent thyroid surgery and were subsequently exposed to iodine contrast exhibited normal urinary iodine levels 4 weeks after a LID (32). Another recent study reported that patients with an intact thyroid who underwent intravenous iodinated contrast procedures required approximately 75 days to achieve baseline urinary iodine levels (33).
Recent guidelines for and reviews of the treatment of hyperthyroidism do not recommend a special diet before RAIT, except in cases where the patients have been exposed to excessive amounts of iodine via iodinated contrast or amiodarone (1,3). However, no studies have convincingly demonstrated whether an adequate or slightly elevated iodine nutritional status has a negative impact on the treatment of hyperthyroidism. A single recent study compared the impact of an iodine-restrictive diet for 2 or 3 weeks on patients referred for investigation based on suppressed TSH levels associated with thyroid nodules and goiters (17). In this study, 2 and 3 weeks of a LID similarly decreased urinary iodine levels by 60%, which was accompanied by an approximately 40% increase in 131I uptake by the thyroid. However, in that study, the outcome after RAIT was not evaluated. In our study, we do not analyze 131I uptake only the impact of RD. In this respect, we showed that there was a smaller decline in urinary iodine after 2 weeks on a LID (43%) compared with previous reports. Several factors might explain this difference in the percent urinary iodine decrease after a LID, such as distinct etiologies of thyroid diseases, patient compliance to the strict diet and differences in the basal nutritional status of the patients in the 2 studies. In the present report, we demonstrated that the efficacy of RAIT for GD was not compromised by the iodine nutritional status, even when patients presented with urinary iodine excretion compatible with mildly excessive iodine ingestion. The study has some limitations and the main one is a limited number of patients. These findings will need to be confirmed in a larger cohort.

In summary, our study revealed that the corporal iodine pool did not compromise the therapeutic response to 131I in patients with GD; in fact, the majority of patients exhibited the criteria for being cured after 6 months of RAIT, regardless of the urinary iodine levels. Then, for the management of patients with GD, we recommend a less stringent diet for a shorter time, along with the determination of urinary iodine levels to exclude exogenous and extreme iodine contamination, which will likely be sufficient before undergoing RAIT.
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